

Liaison Services

SPEC KITS

Supporting Effective Library Management for Over Thirty Years

Committed to assisting research and academic libraries in the continuous improvement of management systems, ARL has worked since 1970 to gather and disseminate the best practices for library needs. As part of its commitment, ARL maintains an active publications program best known for its SPEC Kits. Through the Collaborative Research/Writing Program, librarians work with ARL staff to design SPEC surveys and write publications. Originally established as an information source for ARL member libraries, the SPEC series has grown to serve the needs of the library community worldwide.

What are SPEC Kits?

Published six times per year, SPEC Kits contain the most valuable, up-to-date information on the latest issues of concern to libraries and librarians today. They are the result of a systematic survey of ARL member libraries on a particular topic related to current practice in the field. Each SPEC Kit contains an executive summary of the survey results; survey questions with tallies and selected comments; the best representative documents from survey participants, such as policies, procedures, handbooks, guidelines, Web sites, records, brochures, and statements; and a selected reading list—both print and online sources—containing the most current literature available on the topic for further study.

Subscribe to SPEC Kits

Subscribers tell us that the information contained in SPEC Kits is valuable to a variety of users, both inside and outside the library. SPEC Kit purchasers use the documentation found in SPEC Kits as a point of departure for research and problem solving because they lend immediate authority to proposals and set standards for designing programs or writing procedure statements. SPEC Kits also function as an important reference tool for library administrators, staff, students, and professionals in allied disciplines who may not have access to this kind of information.

SPEC Kits can be ordered directly from the ARL Publications Distribution Center. To order, call (301) 362-8196, fax (301) 206-9789, e-mail pubs@arl.org, or go to <http://www.arl.org/resources/pubs/>.

Information on SPEC Kits and the SPEC survey program can be found at <http://www.arl.org/resources/pubs/spec/index.shtml>. The executive summary for each kit after December 1993 can be accessed free of charge at <http://www.arl.org/resources/pubs/spec/complete.shtml>.



SPEC Kit 301

Liaison Services

October 2007

Susan Logue

Associate Dean for Support Services
Southern Illinois University Carbondale

John Ballestro

Assistant Professor
Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Andrea Imre

Assistant Professor
Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Julie Arendt

Assistant Professor
Southern Illinois University Carbondale



ASSOCIATION OF RESEARCH LIBRARIES

Series Editor: Lee Anne George

SPEC Kits are published by the

Association of Research Libraries
21 Dupont Circle, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036-1118
P (202) 296-2296 F (202) 872-0884
[http://www.arl.org/spec/
pubs@arl.org](http://www.arl.org/spec/pubs@arl.org)


ISSN 0160 3582

ISBN 1-59407-794-0

978-1-59407-794-4

Copyright © 2007

This compilation is copyrighted by the Association of Research Libraries. ARL grants blanket permission to reproduce and distribute copies of this work for nonprofit, educational, or library purposes, provided that copies are distributed at or below cost and that ARL, the source, and copyright notice are included on each copy. This permission is in addition to rights of reproduction granted under Sections 107, 108, and other provisions of the US Copyright Act.

 The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (R1997) Permanence of Paper for Publications and Documents in Libraries and Archives.

SURVEY RESULTS

Executive Summary.....	11
Survey Questions and Responses.....	15
Responding Institutions	51

REPRESENTATIVE DOCUMENTS

Liaison Roles and Responsibilities

University of California, Irvine Baseline Standards for Bibliographer/Faculty Liaison	56
Colorado State University College Liaison Librarians.....	58
Emory University Role of a Subject Liaison	61
Kent State University Collection Development. Liaison Librarians.....	63
University of Tennessee Role of Subject Librarian	64
University of Washington The Task Force on the Public Service Expectations of Library Liaisons Report. August 2005	66
University of Wisconsin-Madison "myLibrarians" Liaison Program. Charge for Pilot Project.....	73

Position Descriptions

Brown University Position Description (Grade 12 and above)	76
University of California, Irvine Research Librarian for Mathematics and Physics & Astronomy	79

University of California, San Diego	
Political Science/Government Information Librarian	83
Engineering Librarian	85
George Washington University	
Instruction and Reference Librarian	87
Johns Hopkins University	
Librarian III, Physical Sciences or Engineering Librarian, 29243	89
Michigan State University	
Science Collections Coordinator/ Food Science & Technology Librarian	90
Humanities Bibliographer, Librarian II	91
University of Virginia	
Librarian for History; Religious Studies; French Language and Literature; and Classics	92
University of Washington	
Nordic Studies Librarian	93
University of Waterloo	
Information Services and Resources Librarian, with Liaison Responsibilities	95
Wayne State University	
Generic job description	98

Training Materials for Liaisons

Boston College	
Boston College Libraries. Collection Development: A Basic Outline	100
University at Buffalo, SUNY	
Collection Development Manual arts & sciences libraries. Subject Liaison Responsibilities	103
University of California, Irvine	
Methods of Library Outreach & Liaison	109
Best Practices in Faculty/Departments Outreach and Liaison Activities	112
University of California, San Diego	
SSH Cluster Collection Development Toolkit. Collection Manager's Duties and Responsibilities ...	115
Michigan State University	
Bibliographers Manual. Chapter 5. Liaison	118
University of Tennessee	
Subject Librarians' Manual	127
Subject Librarians' Manual. Introduction	128
University of Texas at Austin	
Bibliographer Manual. VII. Appendix. Orientation Checklist	129
Bibliographer Manual. VI. Bibliographers and Their Role in the Institution	132
Bibliographer Manual. V. Functions and Activities. D. Faculty Liaison	134

Texas A&M University	
Examples of Liaison Services	139
University of Washington	
Collection Management Services. New Selector/Liaison Training Checklist.....	142
University of Wisconsin-Madison	
The Liaison Forum	145

Descriptions of Services Offered by Liaisons

University of Alberta	
Find your Liaison Librarian	150
Brown University	
Scholarly Resources Department. Who are we?	151
Colorado State University	
College Liaison Librarians. What is a College Liaison Librarian?	152
University of Nebraska-Lincoln	
UNL Libraries — Liaison Services.....	153
North Carolina State University	
Resources for Faculty and Instructors.....	154
University of Oregon	
What your Subject Specialist can do for you	156
Purdue University	
Instructional Services for Instructors	158
University of Tennessee	
Subject Librarians. By College/Department	159
Subject Librarians. Jane Row	160
Subject Librarians. Janette Prescod	161
University of Virginia	
Subject Librarians for Academic Departments and Special Collections	162
Wayne State University	
Research Services for Faculty	163
University of Wisconsin-Madison	
Liaison Librarians	164

SELECTED RESOURCES

Books and Journal Articles.....	167
--	------------



SURVEY RESULTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

A SPEC survey on liaison services in libraries that was conducted in 1992 concluded that, “Until recently the library collection has formed the focus of library activity. But as the physical collection becomes less central, the user is becoming the focus of library services. The role librarians are to have in this decentralized information environment could depend largely upon the effectiveness with which liaison librarians are able to monitor, anticipate, and respond to user’s information needs.”¹ Since then many changes have taken place in libraries and in society. Electronic communication and electronic publications have changed library patrons’ expectations and challenged libraries to provide access to a wide variety of materials while adjusting to their patrons’ constantly evolving information seeking behaviors and technological needs.

Since 1992, the definition of the liaison role also has changed. The 1992 RUSA guidelines for liaisons described the liaison role as primarily to gather information for collection development.² The 2001 guidelines have an expanded definition of liaison work that includes five components. Three components stress collection development and two emphasize purposes beyond collection development, namely public relations and communicating clientele needs to the library staff and governing body.³ Now, librarians are taking on a number of new roles and responsibilities including partnering with faculty in the classroom, acting as academic

advisors and mentors, and providing computer software and hardware support.

Background

This survey sought to identify the current roles of liaisons in ARL libraries and any changes in focus in their interactions with academic departments. It explored whether liaisons are being reactive to faculty and student needs, partners in providing teaching/library instruction, pioneers in the new electronic world or have limited involvement with the academic departments, and documented how libraries mix the activities of traditional liaison responsibilities with the new trends that are fostered by the evolving needs of today’s library patrons.

The survey was distributed to the 123 ARL member libraries in May 2007. Sixty-six libraries—63 academic and 3 non-academic—responded by the deadline for a 54% response rate. Only one of the academic libraries does not provide liaison services to academic departments in their university; these services are not applicable to the non-academic libraries. Twenty-nine of the responding libraries (49%) began offering liaison services before 1980. A number of respondents couldn’t provide an exact start date but made comments along the lines of, “as long as the library has been in existence” and “for decades.” Those who could provide a date show that a wave of new, or newly defined, programs has started each decade from the 1960s to today; the most recent program started in 2007.

Liaison Assignments

For 44 respondents (75%), defining who liaisons are and what they do was determined through administrative decisions. However, a significant number of respondents stated that librarians' perceived needs of academic departments were a major factor in determining these services (33 responses or 60%). Formal and informal meetings and conversations with faculty members also played a role. In most of the responding libraries (52 or 85%), there is a liaison assigned to every department on campus. At the other nine, only a few departments have a liaison.

Department Participation

Thirty-three respondents (61%) indicated that all departments on their campuses take advantage of services offered by library liaisons. The 24 respondents who indicated that only some departments take advantage of liaison services were asked to estimate the percentage of participating departments and to describe which departments those are. The majority report that participation falls between 75% and 90%; only two campuses have less than 60% departmental participation. The respondents listed a wide range of participating departments across disciplines. Many commented that participation level varies between departments since each department has different needs. A handful of respondents indicated that sciences are less active than social sciences and humanities, while one indicated that sciences are the most active.

All of the responding libraries are actively seeking ways to increase departmental participation and employ various strategies to achieve that goal. An analysis of respondents' comments show that library liaisons tend to target their services to teaching and research faculty more than undergraduates, but it appears difficult to get their foot in the door. Almost all of the libraries encourage liaisons to attend departmental meetings and, in addition to formal meetings, many organize social events for liaisons and departmental faculty. Most

respondents also indicated that they employ such promotion strategies as newsletters, e-mail, or presentations for key university committees to increase departmental participation. Six respondents indicated that they increased the presence of liaisons in academic units by providing liaisons with office spaces or office hours in academic departments. A few shared strategies that can help campus faculty become more active, such as inviting faculty to contribute to library publications, including faculty on library committees, and creating liaison advisory teams. One respondent commented that their librarians are "over-extended" and therefore expectations are carefully controlled. On most campuses however, liaisons constantly work to implement new services.

The survey asked which members of the department are eligible for liaison services. Responses indicate that liaison outreach is inclusive. Faculty of all types—teaching and research, adjunct and lecturer—are high on the eligibility list, followed closely by graduate teaching assistants and other graduate students. Roughly three-fourths of the respondents also include administrative staff and undergraduates. A few include the general public.

Liaison Responsibility Assignment

Only five libraries report that most or all of their librarians are assigned as liaisons. The criteria for these liaisons are summed up by one respondent, "interest, subject knowledge, availability, instruction skills, public service ethic." When the liaison pool is narrowed to just *some* librarians, subject expertise is still the number one criteria and "Subject Librarian" appears to be synonymous with liaison. Those with collection development responsibilities also commonly act as liaisons, but the largest group to shoulder liaison responsibilities is the public service librarians. Other library professionals with liaison responsibilities include administrators, language specialists, and media specialists. Support staff liaisons typically have cataloging or service desk expertise.

Liaison's Department Assignment

For the majority of librarians (80%), liaison activities are their primary responsibility, but for other professionals and library staff liaison activities are secondary to other responsibilities of their jobs. For the great majority of respondents, department assignments are based on the liaison's subject expertise (95%) or position in the library (69%). Some libraries also consider distribution of workload as a way to determine assignments. All of the responding libraries reported at least one liaison who serves more than one academic department. Although most libraries assign no more than four or five academic departments to any one liaison, four libraries indicated that more than fifteen departments were assigned to a liaison. In the 1992 SPEC survey the largest number of departments assigned to one liaison was 12; in this survey the largest number is thirty-one.

Liaison Services

The survey asked what services liaisons provide to their academic departments. All respondents indicated that their liaisons offer departmental outreach and communicate department needs back to the library. All but a few also offer reference, collection development, and library instruction. A significant number provide scholarly communication education. Examples of other services include digital project support, individual consultations, advice on copyright, and exhibits, among others. Several respondents noted that not every librarian provided all of the services listed, though.

While types and number of services may differ from liaison to liaison, they all appear to use a wide range of methods to communicate what those services are to their departments. At the top of the list is sending information via e-mail. A close second is the in-person approach, such as attending departmental meetings, meeting with faculty individually, and orienting new faculty. Most post news on the library's homepage or newsletter, send promo-

tional materials to their departments, host special events, or use electronic discussion lists and blogs to communicate their services.

New Liaison Training

Almost all of the libraries provide some form of training for new liaisons whether informal or formal, just an overview or more extensive, provided by a supervisor, peer, or an assigned mentor. Collection development is the most common aspect of the training that liaisons receive. Also common is training in reference, instruction, and outreach methods. A number of libraries provide introductions to the liaison's departments. Others schedule regular meetings of liaisons. More than a fifth of the comments indicate that the training for the liaison role is unstructured, but several are planning a more rigorous program.

Administration of Liaison Services

Almost half of the respondents reported that their liaison services are self-administered by individual liaisons without a central coordinator or administrative body. About a quarter reported that liaisons are centrally administered, either by a coordinator, committee, or the library administration. In a few cases, two or more unit heads have joint responsibility. In other cases, administration varies by library or unit.

Evaluation of Liaison Services

About half of the survey respondents report that there has been some sort of evaluation of their liaison services. The most common evaluation method is to track the number of instruction sessions and/or reference or research interviews. Some have conducted user surveys or interviewed members of their departments. A few have conducted focus groups. Several respondents mention the liaison's annual performance report as the main evaluation method; several others specify that they have used the LibQUAL+® user satisfaction survey.

Challenges of Liaison Services

The survey asked respondents to describe up to three top challenges for their library liaisons. Responses cover a wide range of concerns. The most common challenge described is establishing and maintaining contact with faculty, especially when they seem time-pressured, uninterested, or unresponsive to outreach, are on campus only part-time, or think that library services compete with teaching time. Another challenge is time constraints on liaisons: they have competing responsibilities, are assigned too many departments or departments outside their area of expertise, or may struggle to keep up with new technology, new ideas, or changes in their departments. A third challenge can be described as communication: how to get the word out about liaison services to the right people when they are receptive to the message.

The 1992 SPEC survey included a similar question that asked, "What barriers to effective liaison work do librarians encounter at your institution." In both surveys, concerns about unreceptive faculty and about lack of time or expertise were indicated. Although the two surveys are not directly comparable because of differences in question wording and response presentation, it is notable that ten libraries in the 1992 survey marked over-demanding faculty as a challenge, but this concern was barely mentioned in the current survey.

Conclusion

More than half of the academic ARL member libraries provide liaison services to departments at their universities. While only a few libraries assign liaison responsibilities to all librarians, the others have hired or trained a cadre of librarians and other staff who have the subject experience, social skills, and interest to make this their primary job responsibility. Most of these libraries assign a liaison to every department, though not every department takes advantage of the available services. Liaisons are using a variety of high-tech and in-person approaches to reach out to their departments. A large majority of the responding libraries provide liaison services

not just to tenured and tenure-track faculty but to students and others in the departments they serve. Most liaisons offer a range of services from collection development to reference and instruction to research support, digital project consulting, and more. Almost all of the libraries provide training for liaisons to ensure effective service, though only about half have formally evaluated their success.

There are many challenges to making a liaison program successful. Each library is in a different environment. Different departments have different needs. Many respondents noted that department-liaison relationships are dependent on a number of factors, including the ratio of liaisons to departments, the personal relationships that liaisons have established with faculty, students, and staff in their liaison departments, and the ability of the liaison to have time to devote to this job responsibility.

Just fifteen years ago, over-demanding faculty was a concern for some libraries and establishing and maintaining contact was a concern for others. Now, establishing and maintaining contact is a consistent concern. While many liaisons make establishing and maintaining contacts a priority, faculty deem library services a low priority in their daily lives. Getting the opportunity for instruction, helping students in their research, and integrating information literacy into the curriculum are some of the many challenges that face liaisons today.

References

1. Latta, Gail F. *Liaison Services in ARL Libraries*. SPEC Kit 189. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries, November/December 1992.
2. Liaison with Users Committee. Collection Development and Evaluation Section. Reference and Adult Services Division. American Library Association. "RASD Guidelines for Liaison Work." *RQ* 32, no. 2 (1992): 198–204.
3. Liaison with Users Committee. Collection Development and Evaluation Section. Reference and User Services Association. "Guidelines for Liaison Work in Managing Collections and Services." *Reference & User Services Quarterly* 41, no. 2 (2001): 107–09.