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12:15-2:15 p.m. Basics of the USA PATRIOT Act, the FBI Guidelines, and Homeland Security Legislation
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Implications for Libraries
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Office of the Vice President for Information Technology, Cornell University
James Neal
Gary Strong

How Libraries Should Respond
Tracy Mitrano
James Neal
Gary Strong
Thomas Susman

Question and Answer Segment

Issues and Concerns
Constitutional Issues: Tracy Mitrano
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Legislative Realities: Thomas Susman
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Libraries and National Security

Basics of the USA PATRIOT Act, the FBI Guidelines, and Homeland Security Legislation

Thomas M. Susman
Ropes & Gray

Key Information Policy Issues in the Post-9/11 Environment

• USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 (P.L. 107-56)
• Removal of government information from the web, agencies, and from libraries
• Administration initiatives on homeland security
• New “sensitive but not classified” designation
• Homeland Security Legislation

USA PATRIOT Act

• Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-56)
• Various forms of the legislation surfaced quickly after September 11
• Signed into law October 26, 2001

Notes
USA PATRIOT Act

• Amends more than 15 different statutes, including wiretap, immigration and money-laundering laws
• Gives law enforcement greater authority to conduct searches

Portions impacting libraries:

• Computer trespasser exception
• “Trap and trace”
• Business records

Notes
USA PATRIOT Act
Computer trespasser exception

New provision in ECPA*:

- Targets person who accesses a computer “without authorization”
- Requires system owner’s consent; ISPs can invite in law enforcement
- Law enforcement must reasonably believe that the information will be relevant
- Interception does not acquire communications other than those transmitted to or from the trespasser

*Electronic Communications Privacy Act

Pen register, trap & trace court orders

New Provisions:

- Order served on any provider in the food chain
- Follows route of information
- One order good nationwide
- Can collect any “dialing, routing, addressing, or signaling” information
- FBI may install hardware or software

Notes
USA PATRIOT Act
Business records under FISA*

Under new law, secret FISA court can issue order

• In international terrorism or clandestine intelligence investigation
• For seizure of any records, which can include library records
• Specifics of court order and institution’s implementation must be kept secret

*Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act

FBI Guidelines

• Attorney General’s “Guidelines to the FBI” issued on May 30, 2002
• Lift restrictions on FBI data-gathering
• Allow FBI to go into any public setting without specific suspicions

Department of Homeland Security

• Merges 22 Agencies and Governmental Functions
• Creates New Exemption to FOIA
• Lowers Threshold for ISPs to Provide Information Without Court Order
• Creates Privacy Officer position for Department of Homeland Security
• Increases Penalties for Certain Computer Trespass Crimes

Notes
Implications for Libraries

Gary Strong, Queens Borough Public Library

James Neal, Columbia University Libraries

Implications for Libraries

• Privacy of patrons (Confidentiality)
• Free access to information
• Freedom of speech
• Academic Freedom
• Employment of foreign individuals
• IT security

The Library Environment

• A crime-free environment
• A safe place
• Learning and pursuit of knowledge and information on any topic
• Ask any question – discuss any topic

The Library’s Mission

The Queens Borough Public Library is a forum for all points of view and adheres to the principles of intellectual freedom as expressed in the Library Bill of Rights formulated by the American Library Association.

Mission Statement, Queens Borough Public Library

Notes
The Library Respects the Privacy of all of its Patrons

- Adopt the confidentiality legislation of your state as Library Policy
- Establish procedures for staff to follow if they are approached by an individual
  - Law enforcement at any level of government
  - Fellow staff member
  - Patron concern

Create Relationships

- With law enforcement at all levels
- Other institutions to share information
- With your professional library associations

Have Policies & Procedures in Place Before You are Visited

- Review all policies and procedures
- Make necessary amendments now
- Brief your Board of Trustees, city officials, general counsel, senior management
- Orient staff in public service and IT functions to library protocol

Notes
Routing of Legal Papers

- If anyone approaches you alleging to be a law enforcement official requesting information, do not disclose to that individual any information.

- If they present a subpoena, direct either the person or the paper to your supervisor, who will in turn direct it immediately to legal counsel.

- If they present a warrant, do not interfere with their search or seizure, and call your supervisor [or some other office] as soon as possible.

- Library administration should have a pre-established and pre-published path for routing those papers to legal counsel.

- In turn, legal counsel should be apprised of that routing path and informed of the office(s) or individual(s) delegated to make the presentation.

- USA-PATRIOT Act:
  - Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act 501 Business Records
  - New exception to Family Education Records Privacy Act
  - FISA/Electronic Communications Privacy Act “rubber stamped” subpoenas
  - And...keep a record of all legal requests to preserve institutional memory and to insure against any potential for abuse.
Emergency Disclosures of IT Communications

• Disclosures of electronic communications in the event of an immediate danger to life and limb.
• New exception to the Electronic Communications Privacy Act under the USA-PATRIOT Act.
• For example, network operator observes a message threatening danger to life and limb.
• If in the normal course of business you observe what you reasonably believe to be a threat of imminent danger to life and limb, call the [police, campus police, security] immediately. Directly following that call, contact your supervisor [or pre-determined other office or individual, such as IT Security, or Policy or legal counsel].

Notes
Computer Trespass

- Institution will suffer no liability under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act if it invites federal law enforcement to investigate a compromised computer.
- This USA-PATRIOT Act amendment of ECPA *not* specific to terrorism; no showing of terrorism required to trigger provision.
- Under the “sunset clause” provision, four year expiration without a further act of Congress.
- What is the concern?
  - Who has the authority to decide whether or not to make such a call?
  - Whom should they consult?
  - Under what guidelines or restrictions would they allow federal law enforcement to investigate?
  - How to handle a “friendly” request by law enforcement to help investigate?

Protocol

- If anyone in the library community has a computer upon which they reasonably believe an electronic trespass has occurred and desire an investigation of it, contact [supervisor? IT specialist? IT security]. That office will, in turn, consult with the appropriate administrative and legal offices and, if necessary, make the appropriate contacts to federal law enforcement.

Notes
Conclusion

• Carefully considered policies, procedures and protocols constructed in the context of this law can go a long way in preserving the traditional autonomy of libraries and higher education.

• As beacons of hope, it is our duty as academics, libraries, and administrators – keepers of the flame – to do so in an effort to preserve our country’s civil liberties at large.

Notes
How Libraries Should Respond

Tracy Mitrano
James Neal
Gary Strong
Thomas Susman

Notes
Issues and Concerns

Constitutional Issues: Tracy Mitrano
Library Values: James Neal and Gary Strong
Legislative Realities: Thomas Susman

Closing Remarks: Thomas Susman

________________________________________________________________________

Notes
Constitutional Challenges to the USA-PATRIOT Act

Tracy Mitrano
Cornell University

Family Education Rights Privacy Act and Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act

• FERPA
  • Not on new exception because it is narrowly tailored
    • But watch for potential conflict with Student Exchange Visitors Information Service

• FISA
  • General question about its constitutionality
    • FISA Review Board found for the government
      • “Chinese wall” separation regulations not necessary
      • Broad powers for intelligence surveillance wiretaps
  • Business Records 501: potential First Amendment violation for speech?

Notes
Electronic Communications Privacy Act

• “Rubber stamped subpoenas”

• Allows law enforcement to obtain conversational detail of electronic communications (e.g. source and destination IP addresses, e-mail headers) with almost no showing (well below 4th A. probable cause)…

• Rationale: not content, equivalent to low standards for phone records

• Question: Is an IP address content…
  • When it can be resolved to content, such as a Web site?
  • E-mail headers include subject lines? Is that content?

• Computer Trespass
  • Is it constitutional?

  • Why not, you can request law enforcement investigation when your house is broken into?
  • But how about when law enforcement knows it is broken into before you do? Do they have the right to invite themselves in?
  • How to regulate the investigation?
    • remove router instead of the information
  • How does one stop an investigation once it is started?
  • Suppression consequences?
  • “Sunset” Clause…four years.

Notes
Closing Remarks

Thomas Susman

Changes for Libraries

• Potential for increased law enforcement scrutiny
• Charged political environment
• Perceived decrease in patron confidentiality
• Increased importance of procedures for responding to judicial processes
• Reduced access to government information

Library Preparedness Locally

• Cultivate local expertise
• Consult local legal counsel
• Review and revise policies
• Ask venders tough questions
• Understand your technological capabilities
• Train staff at all levels

Library Preparedness Politically

• Press elected officials for accountability
• Share your experiences — within library community and with other community leaders
• Monitor government Web sites for restricted or removed information
• Oppose expansion of government authority to intrude

Notes
POST-BROADCAST DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

Option A

If your institution/library has a policy (or policies) for addressing issues related to law enforcement and patron privacy, invite someone from the appropriate office to discuss this policy with the group. It may be the case that there are both institutional and library policies that are relevant. Make copies of the policies available.

1. What issues do the policies address?

2. Are there issues/situations that the policies do not address? Do the policies need to be updated?

3. What steps should the library take to make sure all employees are aware of all relevant policies?

4. Have patrons been made aware of potential violations of their privacy and the institution's process for dealing with these issues?

Option B

If your institution does not yet have a policy in place or you are at a site with participants from a wide variety of institutions, you may want to focus on the more generic aspects of policy development.

1. What issues/situations should a policy address?

2. What stakeholders would need to be included in order to come to a decision on the issues identified?

3. What strategies would be useful for ensuring that all appropriate offices and staff are aware of the policies?

4. Should patrons be made aware of potential violations of their privacy? If so, how?
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APPENDIX A:

RESOURCES

LEGISLATION

"Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act" (Oct. 25, 2001).
<http://www.eff.org/Privacy/Surveillance/Terrorism_militias/20011025_hr3162_usa_patriot_bill.html>.

ARTICLES AND REPORTS ON THE INTERNET

American Library Association, "Guidelines for Librarians on the USA PATRIOT Act: What to Do Before, During, and After a 'Knock at the Door?'" (.pdf file)


Doyle, Charles (Congressional Research Service). "Terrorism: Section by Section Analysis of the USA PATRIOT Act" (Dec. 2001):


OTHER INTERNET RESOURCES

American Library Association. "Libraries and the Patriot Legislation"

American Library Association. "USA PATRIOT Act"


**NEWSLETTER ARTICLES**

APPENDIX B:

SAMPLE POLICY STATEMENT
LIBRARY RESPONSE TO SUBPOENAS OR SEARCH WARRANTS

The following Draft Policy Statement is adapted from an e-mail message from Charles Lowry, Dean of Libraries, University of Maryland Libraries, to ARL Directors in September 2002.

University of Maryland Libraries will observe the following procedures, until we determine a more detailed procedure is needed. If a law enforcement officer presents a subpoena or search warrant at any of the libraries:

• Staff who are approached should immediately contact the Dean’s and their Director’s office to alert them and refer the officer to the Dean’s office.

• We will immediately contact the UM Legal Office for a review of the document’s legal sufficiency (and tell the law enforcement officer of this procedure).

• The Legal Office will provide assistance on site during the search. This could happen at non-standard times (though doubtful) such as weekends and late nights. We have established a phone tree for Library Executive Committee members for nights and weekend emergencies.

• Similarly, we will ask UM Legal Counsel for contacts during non-standard times. Again, we do not expect this to happen. In our experience (e.g., last year when the FBI was on campus after September 11, 2001) they came during normal work hours and (I should mention) were highly professional and courteous in our work with them.

*************

The above Draft Protocol Statement was based upon a communications between University of Maryland Legal Counsel, Anne Bowden and Dean of Libraries Charles Lowry in 2002 regarding the effect of the USA Patriot Act’s amendments to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act. One of those communications is included below:

First, any request anyone in the library receives for information about what library materials someone is using should be sent to the Legal Office without furnishing any information. The law allows that type of disclosure of the request.

Second, every request has to be made pursuant to a search warrant or subpoena. The standard to obtain a search warrant has been reduced from probable cause that a crime has been committed or that the information being sought relates to a crime, to a belief that the records being sought may be related to an ongoing investigation related to
terrorism or intelligence. This same lowered standard applies to requests for court orders authorizing wiretaps and electronic tracking/tracing devices.

Third, if a law enforcement officer requests circulation information without a subpoena or a search warrant, the library has no duty to furnish information. If a law enforcement officer shows up with a subpoena or a search warrant, I recommend that a) you identify one person (you or an assistant) who will receive such requests; b) call the legal office and ask someone to come and review the document for legal sufficiency. We will have time to collect information requested by a subpoena but law enforcement officers may execute a search warrant immediately. I recommend that you call the legal office to request that an attorney be present during searches.

Fourth, the USA Patriot Act supersedes the state's confidentiality law with respect to library circulation records. So, the less personally identifiable information the library collects, the less information the library will have to turn over.

Fifth, the legal office can attempt to obtain restrictions on who can access subpoenaed information and the purposes for which it can be accessed. I suspect that such efforts will not often be successful, but we could always try.