

FACULTY ACTIVISM IN SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATIONS OPPORTUNITY ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT Date _____ Department _____ Completed by I. Department practices and attitudes A. Publishing activities: # Faculty actively publishing in peer-reviewed journals Many Some Few None # Faculty who peer review or referee Many Some Few None # Faculty who serve on journal editorial boards Many Some Few None List journals and their publishers B. Grant activities: List major, active, external grants, with PIs and funding source of each: Do any of these funding agencies allow payment of author fees and/or OA fees? C. What kinds of digital data are being generated and/or stored by faculty in the department? Have faculty expressed concern about managing digital outputs and/or accessing them and/or providing access to them by scholars outside of the campus network? D. Tenure/promotion requirements? Online publications acceptable? Explicit or implied?

Are OA journals acceptable? What are faculty perceptions of OA journal									
Are citation/impact facto	rs mentioned in departmental	document	ation?						
E. Any established activ	vist behaviors?								
# Faculty who have pub	Many	Some	Few	None					
# Faculty who have dep	Many	Some	Few	None					
# Faculty who are active ☐ Who?	Many	Some	Few	None					
	e already shown strong recept ocate/partner/co-author?	ivity to Sc	hol Comr	<u>m issue</u>	s and				
^{[_} → Who?									
G. Any opposition, kno	wn or expected?								
II. Potential external I	nfluences on Department								
A. Who do faculty in de	partment see as their benchma	arks at oth	ner institu	tions?					
Check websites: Ar communications iss	e any of these benchmarks buues?	ilding stro	ng progra	ams aro	ound scholarly				
Are faculty in depart	ment aware of these programs	s?							
B. Major professional so publications reach your	ociety/ies associated with the of faculty regularly:	<u>liscipline v</u>	whose co	nferenc	es and				
Name of Society	Check website for evider	Check website for evidence of Schol Comm awareness							
- tanno or ocoloty	·								
									
	·								

This work was created by Lee Van Orsdel for the ARL/ACRL Institute on Scholarly Communication and last updated November 2007. It is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 United States License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

4. Other evidence of awareness?

Does the society publish journal/s?

What publisher, if any, do they contract with?

Does the publisher offer OA or a hybrid option for authors?

Are faculty aware of the option and its importance for OA?

Does society make its backfiles OA after a certain period?

C. <u>Is there a disciplinary repository serving the field?</u>

Are faculty aware of the repository? Have any deposited articles there?

D. Number of Open Access journals in discipline (listed in DOAJ)?

Are faculty aware of these journals?

III. Relationships with Librarians

A. Assess overall strength of current relationship with Library?

Who is library's main contact within department?

Any other strong connections between department and library to build on?

Are there known liabilities/failures/difficulties with department?

B. Have any presentations on Schol Comm been made to department recently?

Successful encounter or not?

Any noticeable outcomes?

C. Potential entry points for librarians

New faculty orientation?

Yes No Maybe

Contact:

C	Committee on research/intellectual property?		No	Maybe				
	Contact:							
De	Departmental publications?		No	Maybe				
	Contact:							
Co	olloquia/discussion groups?	Yes	No	Maybe				
	Contact:							
G	Graduate mentoring sessions?		No	Maybe				
	Contact:							
Ju	unior faculty mentoring sessions?	Yes	No	Maybe				
	Contact:							
Other established communications with potential for input about Schol Comm?								
D. Specialists in department who might be natural allies?								
In	Intellectual property expert							
Grants officer								
Director of graduate research								
Sa	abbatical committee							
O	thers:							
First s	steps to take?							
W	/hat? Who?			When?				

IV. What are they reading about scholarly publishing and OA?

Identify the 10 or 12 core journals in the discipline—the ones most read by the faculty. Review recent issues for editorials or articles about OA, scholarly publishing, and related topics. Assess the nature and the bias of the messages they are likely receiving about these issues from their peers.